

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/538,168	04/08/2006	Markus Anliker	TME-2217	2243	
24131 I ERNER GRI	7590 06/24/2009 EENBERG STEMER LI		EXAMINER		
P O BOX 2480			STEPHENS III, JOSE S		
HOLLYWOO	D, FL 33022-2480		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3728		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			06/24/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/538,168	ANLIKER, MARKUS	
Examiner	Art Unit	
JOSE S. STEPHENS III	3728	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address -- Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS,

- WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.
- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a repty be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
 - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
 Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any

	reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).				
Status					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09 April 2009</u> .				
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposit	ion of Claims				
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1,4 and 20 is/are pending in the application.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.				

- 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 1,4 and 20 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on <u>08 April 2006</u> is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:
 - 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.
 - 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
 - * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s	
--------------	--

- Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- Imformation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTC/S6/08)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date ______.

- Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date.
- 5). Notice of Informal Patert Application
- 6) Other: _____

Application/Control Number: 10/538.168

Art Unit: 3728

DETAILED ACTION

1. This Office Action acknowledges the applicant's amendment filed 9 April 2009 Claims 1, 4, and 20 are pending in the application; and claims 2, 3, and 5-19 are cancelled.

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

2. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114. and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 9 April 2009 has been entered.

Claim Objections

Claim 4 is objected to because of the following informalities: Proper 3. usage of trademarks requires that they be capitalized at all times. See MPEP § 608.01(v). Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112: 4.

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/538,168

Art Unit: 3728

- Claims 1, 4, and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- Claim 1 recites the limitation "said other chamber" in lines 5 and 6. There
 is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- Claim 20 recites the limitation "said other chamber" in lines 2 and 3.
 There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35
 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this
 Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

 Claims 1 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hermelin et al. (US Patent 6.375.956).

With respect to claim 1, figure 8A of Hermelin teaches a suppository package comprising a package 110 having a chamber 124 formed therein, the chamber containing a suppository (see column 15, lines 59-67; and column 16, lines 1-7) therein, the package having another chamber formed therein, the another chamber 126 being separate from the chamber and containing a cream, which is a lubricant (see column 15, lines 59-67; and column 16, lines 1-7) therein.

Application/Control Number: 10/538,168

Art Unit: 3728

With respect to claim 20, figure 8B of Hermelin teaches the chambers are constructed for being opened simultaneously.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 10. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 11. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 12. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hermelin et al. (US Patent 6,375,956) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Davidowitz (US Patent 3,712,300).

With respect to claim 4, Hermelin does not teach the lubricant is a greasy ointment or VASELINE. However, Davidowitz teaches that VASELINE is used as a lubricant (see column 5, lines 67 and 68). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to

Application/Control Number: 10/538,168

Art Unit: 3728

use VASELINE as a lubricant, as taught by Davidowitz (see column 5, lines 67 and 68), to reduce friction when the suppository is used.

Response to Arguments

 Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JOSE S. STEPHENS III whose telephone number is 571-270-3797. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ehud Gartenberg can be reached on 571-272-4828. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/538,168 Page 6

Art Unit: 3728

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Ehud Gartenberg/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3728

JSS